

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/710,399	07/08/2004	Min-Jer Lin	LKSP0027USA	4398
27765	7590 10/18/2005		EXAM	INER
NORTH AMERICA INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY CORPORATION P.O. BOX 506 MERRIFIELD, VA 22116			NGUYEN, DAO H	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	···-··································		2818	

DATE MAILED: 10/18/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/710,399	LIN, MIN-JER				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
·	Dao H. Nguyen	2818				
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap						
Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING I - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the maili earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC. .136(a). In no event, however, may a replay and will expire SIX (6) MONT te, cause the application to become ABA	ATION. ply be timely filed HS from the mailing date of this communication. INDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>08</u> .	July 2004.					
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ Th	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D.	11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-25</u> is/are pending in the applicatio	n.	,				
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.	6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) <u>1-25</u> are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examin	ner.					
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	e drawing(s) be held in abeyand	ce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre						
11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	Examiner. Note the attached	Office Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	n priority under 35 U.S.C. §	119(a)-(d) or (f).				
 Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 						
Certified copies of the priority document						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the pri		received in this National Stage				
application from the International Bure	•					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a lis	st of the certified copies not r	eceived.				
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		ımmary (PTO-413) /Mail Date				
 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 Paper No(s)/Mail Date 		formal Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Election/Restrictions

1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

Group I: Claims 1-12, drawn to semiconductor device(s), classified in class 257, subclass 734.

Group II: Claims 13-25, drawn to method(s) of manufacturing semiconductor device(s), classified in class 438, and subclass 411.

2. The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions II and I are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make other and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case, unpatentability of the group I invention would not necessarily imply unpatentability of the group II invention, since the device of the group I invention could be made by other and materially different processes from those of the group II invention. For example, the device of group I can be formed by first forming the first substrate, then forming bonding balls on the first surface of the first substrate boding to the bonding balls.

Application/Control Number: 10/710,399 Page 3

Art Unit: 2818

3. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, the fields of search are not co-extensive. Therefore, separate examination would be required and restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

- 4. An attempt to contact Attorney Winston Hsu on 10/07/2005 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.
- 5. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143). In addition, Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a diligently filed petition under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(h).

Conclusion

6. A shortened statutory period for response to this action is set to expire 1 (one) month and 0 (zero) day from the day of this letter. Failure to respond within the period for response will cause the application to become abandoned (see M.P.E.P 710.02(b)).

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication from the examiner should be directed to Dao Nguyen whose telephone number is 571-272-1791. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday, 9:00 AM – 6:00 PM. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David Nelms can be reached on 571-272-1787. The fax numbers for all communication(s) is 571-273-8300.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 571-272-1625.

David Welms

Supervisory Patent Examiner Technology Center 2800

Dao H. Nguyen Art Unit 2818 October 15, 2005